Subsystem: Lysine Biosynthesis DAP Pathway

Andrei Osterman^{1,2} and Dimitry Rodionov³ ¹The Burnham Institute, ²FIG, Institute for Information Transmission ProblemsMoscow, Russia

I. Introduction

- A biosynthesis of L-lysine in various organisms is associated with an amazing diversity of pathways and enzymes involved in metabolism of this amino acid. Two independent strategies of lysine biosynthesis, so-called **alpha-aminoadipate (or AAA) and diaminopimelate (or DAP) pathways,** were initially characterized in fungi and bacteria, respectively. An elegant genomic survey of these pathways reveals an intriguing evolutionary history of these pathways and their relationship with other metabolic systems, such as TCA, Leucine and Arginine biosynthesis [1]. Additional variants of AAA pathway traditionally perceived as a strictly eukaryotic route, were recently uncovered in archaea and deep-branched bacteria of Thermophilus/Deinococcus group [2-4] (a respective SEED subsystem is currently under development).
- The subsystem *Lysine Biosynthesis DAP Pathway* is focusing on the analysis of typically bacterial scenarios of lysine production from aspartate (see a diagram). In addition to metabolic and biotechnological importance of its end-product, lysine, this subsystem generates an important intermediate, diaminopimelate (DAP), a building block of peptidoglycan in the cell wall of many (but not all) bacteria. Although DAP pathways and all involved enzymes were studied in great detail in classical models (eg *E.coli*, and *C.glutamicum*), genes encoding a three-step conversion of tetrahydrodipicolinate to LL-2,6-biaminoheptanedioate remain unknown in many other important bacteria (see a diagram). Application of genome context analysis techniques, including chromosomal clustering and shared regulatory elements, allowed to elucidate DAP pathways in a number of diverse bacteria [5]. In the current SEED subsystem we attempted to capture and project the results of this analysis over a larger variety of bacterial species.

II. Subsystem notes, functional variants, open problems and conjectures

(The following slides provide abbreviations of functional roles and diagrams)

A complexity in DAP subsystems comes from the existence of at least three major variations:

Var.1: succinvlation-dependent branch (as in *E.coli* and many Gram negative bacteria)

Var.2: acetylation-dependent branch (as in *B.subtilis* and many Gram positive bacteria)

Var.3: dehydrogenase shunt (as in *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*)

Var.4: combination of Var.1 and 3 (as in *C.glutamicum* and several related species)

(Var99: no choice could be made between # 2 and 3; missing genes)

An additional complexity arises from the fact that all three enzymes forming the succinylation and acetylation branches belong to large families of paralogs and enzymes with broad specificity. A case of aminotransferase (SDAPAT or ADAPAT) appears particularly challenging. Some data in the literature, as well as the analysis of other subsystem related to metabolism of Arginine, Glutamine and Ornithine, suggests that at least some of the proteins in the argD/astC family may display a very broad specificity. Possible substrates may include both acetylated and succinylated derivatives as well as free ornithine and DAP. That means that the same enzyme may participate in a large variety of pathways (subsystems)

Other problems and observations:

- Missing DAPE in some Gram-positive organisms (eg Leuconostoc mesenteroides; Oenococcus oeni; Lactococcus lactis, etc). Possible candidates: homologs of DAPE1 (predicted based on chromosomal clustering in S.aureus by D.Rodionov and O.Vasieva).
- Streptococcus pyogenes, agalactiae and equi do not have a functional pathway. They should salvage exogenous Lys and use it for cell wall synthesis.
- Fusobacterium nucleatum does not have a pathway but contain DAPE (Lys salvage and reverse reaction?).

- Missing DAPDS in: Campylobacter jejuni, Helicobacter hepaticus

Helicobacter pylori, Wolinella succinogenes DSM 1740 [B]

- Missing SDAPAT in Actinobacillus actinomy cetemcomitans and Haemophilus influenzae
- In Thermotoga maritima the whole pathway (variant #2) is in one chromosomal cluster, except missing ADAPAT. Inferred: argD homolog (TM1785)?

Column	Abbrev	Functional Role
1	AK	Aspartokinase (EC 2.7.2.4)
2	ASD	Aspartate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase (EC 1.2.1.11)
3	DHPS	Dihydrodipicolinate synthase (EC 4.2.1.52)
4	DHPR	Dihydrodipicolinate reductase (EC 1.3.1.26)
5	THPST	2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate N-succinyltransferase (EC 2.3.1.117)
6	SDAPAT	N-succinyl-L,L-diaminopimelate aminotransferase (EC 2.6.1.17)
7	DAPDS	N-succinyl-L,L-diaminopimelate desuccinylase (EC 3.5.1.18)
8	THPAT	2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate N-acetyltransferase (EC 2.3.1.89)
9	ADAPAT	N-acetyl-L,L-diaminopimelate aminotransferase (EC 2.6.1)
10	DAPDA	N-acetyl-L,L-diaminopimelate deacetylase (EC 3.5.1.47)
11	DAPDH	Meso-diaminopimelate D-dehydrogenase (EC 1.4.1.16)
12	DAPE	Diaminopimelate epimerase (EC 5.1.1.7)
13	DAPE1	Diaminopimelate epimerase alternative form predicted for S.aureus (EC 5.1.1.7)
14	DAPDC	Diaminopimelate decarboxylase (EC 4.1.1.20)
15	DAPC	N-succinyl-L,L-diaminopimelate aminotransferase alternative (EC 2.6.1.17)
16	ADAPATp	N-acetyl-L,L-diaminopimelate aminotransferase, possible (EC 2.6.1)

Functional Roles, Abbreviations, Subsets and Alternative Forms of Enzymes

		Subset			
	4	*ADAPAT	9,16		
Alternative forms	$\left \right $	*DAPE	12,13		
	Drms *DAPE *SDAP/ Acetyla	*SDAPAT	6,15		
	$\left\{ \right.$	Acetylation_pathway	1,2,3,4,8,9,10,12,14		
<u>Subsets of roles</u>		DAP_dehydrogenase_pathway	1,2,3,4,11,14		
		Succinylation_pathway	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,12,14		

Subsystem spreadsheet

a fragment of the SEED display with selected examples

Organism	Variant Code	AK	ASD	DHPS	DHPR	THPST	*SDAPAT	DAPDS	THPAT	*ADAPAT	DAPDA	DAPDH	*DAPE	DAPDC
Streptococcus agalactiae 2603V/R [B]	-1	<u>337</u>	1024							<u>19</u> -9				
Fusobacterium nucleatum subsp. vincentii ATCC 49256	-1												<u>1347</u> -12	5
Escherichia coli K12 [B]	1	<u>2,</u> <u>3859</u> <u>3933</u>	<u>2293</u> , <u>3367</u>	<u>2447</u> , <u>3169</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>166</u>	<u>1731</u> -6, <u>3294</u> -6	<u>2440</u>					<u>3735</u> -12	<u>2791</u>
, Vibrio cholerae O1 biovar eltor str. N16961 [B]	1	2335 2653 3549 386, 539	$\frac{2011}{2080}$	<u>1752</u> , <u>2129</u>	<u>2362</u>	<u>2301</u>	<u>2587</u> -6	<u>2124</u>					<u>125</u> -12, <u>126</u> -12	<u>124</u>
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis str. 168 [B]	2	<u>1679</u> <u>2850</u> <u>380</u>	<u>1678</u>	<u>1680</u> , <u>247</u>	<u>2253</u>		<u>4040</u> -6		<u>1420</u>	1402-9	<u>1421</u>		<u>3223</u> -12	<u>2343</u>
Thermotoga maritima MSB8 [B]	2	<u>1502</u> <u>541</u>	<u>1507</u>	<u>1505</u>	<u>1504</u>		<u>1766</u> -6		<u>1503</u>	?	<u>1500</u>		<u>1506</u> -12	<u>1501</u>
Staphylococcus aureus subsp. aureus N315 [B]	2	1203	<u>1269</u>	<u>1270</u> , <u>311</u>	<u>1271</u>		<u>183</u> -6, <u>840</u> -6		<u>1272</u>	<u>930</u> -9	<u>1273</u>		<u>2344</u> -12, <u>1274</u> -13	<u>121,</u> <u>1275</u>
Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron VPI-5482 [B]	3	2403	<u>3634</u>	<u>895</u>	<u>3319</u>			7				<u>1979</u>	<u>548</u> -12	<u>1374</u>
Corynebacterium efficiens YS-314 [B]	4	<u>220</u>	<u>221</u>	<u>1864</u>	<u>1866</u>	<u>1163,</u> <u>1165</u>	<u>1529</u> -6, <u>1161</u> -15	<u>1166</u>				<u>2498</u>	<u>1837</u> -12	<u>1277</u>
Proteus mirabilis HI4320 [B]	4	<u>1393</u> , <u>2477</u> , <u>3668</u>	<u>2512</u> , <u>446</u>	$\frac{1359}{2328}, \\ \frac{3426}{3460}, \\ 3460$	<u>2762</u>	<u>3041</u>	<u>2593</u> -6	<u>1351</u>				<u>513</u>	<u>771</u> -12, <u>772</u> -12	<u>133,</u> 2235
Nostoc sp. PCC 7120 [B]	99	<u>3951</u>	<u>3987</u>	<u>3986</u>	<u>2849</u>	?	<u>1390</u> -6	?	?	?	<u>5241</u>		$\frac{2355}{5148}$ -12,	<u>3304</u>
Prochlorococcus marinus subsp. pastoris MED4 [B]	99	<u>527</u>	<u>521</u>	<u>522</u>	<u>1479</u>	?	?	?	or !	?	?	_	<u>1410</u> -12	<u>1164</u>

Matching colors highlight genes that occur close to each other on the chromosome. Genes (proteins) assigned with respective functional roles are shown by unique FIG IDs. Alternative forms are indicated by additional numbers, dash-separated."Missing genes" are indicated by "?". Some of the examples are further illustrated by projection on a subsystem diagram.

Example: E.coli (variant 1)

Subsystem: Lysine Biosynthesis DAP Pathw







References

- 1. Velasco AM, Leguina JI, Lazcano A. Molecular evolution of the lysine biosynthetic pathways.J Mol Evol. 2002 Oct;55(4):445-59. PMID: 1235
- Lombo, T., N. Takaya, J. Miyazaki, K. Gotoh, M. Nishiyama, T. Kosuge, A. Nakamura, and T. Hoshino. 2004. Functional analysis of the small subunit of the putative homoaconitase from Pyrococcus horikoshii in the Thermus lysine biosynthetic pathway. FEMS Microbiol Lett 233:315-24.
- Miyazaki, J., N. Kobashi, T. Fujii, M. Nishiyama, and H. Yamane. 2002. Characterization of a lysK gene as an argE homolog in Thermus thermophilus HB27. FEBS Lett 512:269-74.
- 4. Miyazaki, J., N. Kobashi, M. Nishiyama, and H. Yamane. 2003. Characterization of homoisocitrate dehydrogenase involved in lysine biosynthesis of an extremely thermophilic bacterium, Thermus thermophilus HB27, and evolutionary implication of beta-decarboxylating dehydrogenase. J Biol Chem 278:1864-71.
- Rodionov, D. A., A. G. Vitreschak, A. A. Mironov, and M. S. Gelfand. 2003. Regulation of lysine biosynthesis and transport genes in bacteria: yet another RNA riboswitch? Nucleic Acids Res 31:6748-57.